# *Compaq ActiveAnswers* Solutions Guide

June 2000 ECGnnn/0200

Prepared by Knowledge Management and Messaging Business Unit

**Enterprise Solutions Division** 

**Compaq Computer Corporation** 

#### Contents

Introduction	3
Compaq ProLiant DL580	3
Test Methodology	4
Exchange Server	
Performance Test Results	4
Test Result Highlights	4
What the Benchmarks Don't	
Tell You	6
MAPI Messaging Benchmark	
(MMB) – LoadSim Medium	
User Redefined	6
Appendix A	9
LoadSim Client	9
Performance Data	9
User Response Times	11
Descriptive Terms	11
Appendix B: Related	
Documents	13
Compaq ActiveAnswers	13
Compaq White Paper Index	13
Compaq TechNote Index	13
Microsoft Exchange Server	
Web site	13

# Compaq Achieves 32,500 MMB on the ProLiant DL580 700/2m System with Windows 2000

**Abstract:** Using Microsoft Windows 2000 and Microsoft Exchange V5.5, Compaq demonstrated a 32,500 Exchange MAPI Messaging Benchmark (MMB) operating on a ProLiant DL580 powered by four 700-megahertz (MHz) Intel Pentium III Xeon processors.

Compaq achieved new record-breaking Exchange Server scalability by reaching 32,500 MMB with a 158-millisecond response time on a ProLiant DL580 server equipped with four 700-MHz Intel Pentium III Xeon processors. Using Microsoft's Load Simulation utility, the ProLiant DL580 was tested at Compaq's Performance Center in Nashua, New Hampshire. This performance test resulted in the highest numbers of a benchmarked MMB to date on any four-processor system, from any vendor.

The Compaq ProLiant DL580 provided an average CPU utilization rate of **67.7%** during the 32,500 MMB test. The weighted 95<sup>th</sup> percentile responsetime score was **158 milliseconds**, and the average send-queue size for the four-hour steady-state period was **9 messages**.

Compaq enables a confident deployment and management of Microsoft Exchange Server on their products by conducting extensive integration engineering and capacity planning. Microsoft Exchange Server has been the focal point for extensive development and testing by both Microsoft and Compaq. Throughout this activity, Compaq and Microsoft have worked to optimize Microsoft Exchange Server performance on Compaq server products in order to provide an optimal balance between performance, availability, manageability, and cost. Compaq not only provides worldclass server platforms, but also the experience necessary for successful deployments of messaging and collaborative applications.

## **Notice**

The information in this publication is confidential and proprietary to Compaq and is protected by the terms of an end-user license agreement. The information in this publication is subject to change without notice and is provided "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND. THE ENTIRE RISK ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION REMAINS WITH RECIPIENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL COMPAQ BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, PUNITIVE OR OTHER DAMAGES WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF BUSINESS PROFITS, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION OR LOSS OF BUSINESS INFORMATION), EVEN IF COMPAQ HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The limited warranties for Compaq products are exclusively set forth in the documentation accompanying such products. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting a further or additional warranty.

This publication does not constitute an endorsement of the product or products that were tested. The configuration or configurations tested or described may or may not be the only available solution. This test is not a determination of product quality or correctness, nor does it enable compliance with any federal state or local requirements.

Compaq, Deskpro, Fastart, Compaq Insight Manager, Systempro, Systempro/LT, ProLiant, ROMPaq, QVision, SmartStart, NetFlex, QuickFind, PaqFax, and Prosignia are registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Netelligent, Systempro/XL, SoftPaq, QuickBlank, and QuickLock are trademarks and/or service marks of Compaq Computer Corporation.

Microsoft, MS-DOS, Windows, Windows NT and BackOffice are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.

Other product names mentioned herein may be trademarks and/or registered trademarks of their respective companies.

Copyright ©2000 Compaq Computer Corporation. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A.

Compaq Achieves 32,500 MMB on the ProLiant DL580 700/2m System with Windows 2000

Solutions Guide prepared by Knowledge Management and Messaging Business Unit

**Enterprise Solutions Division** 

First Edition (February 2000) Document Number ECGnnn/0200

## Introduction

## **Compaq ProLiant DL580**

The Compaq ProLiant DL580, follow-on to the award winning ProLiant 6400R, is the ultimate standards-based server delivering the most scalable performance and the highest levels of availability. The ProLiant DL580 supports up to four Pentium® III Xeon<sup>™</sup> processors, and 16 GB of system memory. Its Triple Peer 64-bit PCI architecture supports five 64-bit PCI slots, four of which are hot pluggable, and two which run at 66 MHz. Four-way interleaved memory architecture, when combined with the ProLiant DL580's SDRAM memory, delivers an impressive increase in memory system performance. With the latest high availability features and processor technology, the ProLiant ProLiant DL580 is designed for the most demanding and mission-critical applications in a data center or ASP/ISP environment.

- Supports one to four Intel Pentium<sup>®</sup> III Xeon 700 MHz processors with 1-MB or 2-MB L2 Cache
- Space saving 4U (7") rack-optimized design for up to 10 servers in an industry standard 42U rack
- 5 64-bit and 1 32-bit PCI slots (4 64-bit Hot Plug slots; 2 66MHz Hot Plug slots):
- 16 DIMM slots for up to 16 GB Registered ECC SDRAM Memory\*
- 4 x 1" Wide Ultra2 or Wide Ultra3 Hot plug drive bays
- 24X low profile CD ROM drive and 1.44MB diskette drive
- Compaq NC3134 Fast Ethernet NIC Dual Base 10/100 Controller (64 bit/66 MHz), upgradeable to Gigabit
- Comprehensive high availability features:
  - Integrated Smart Array Controller for RAID data protection and performance across internal hard drives
  - Advanced, multi-bit ECC memory architecture protects your data even if an entire SDRAM component on a DIMM becomes nonfunctional
  - Industry Standard push button PCI Hot Plug
  - Hot plug Wide Ultra2 or Wide Ultra3 hard disk drives
  - Redundant hot plug fan design provides 7x24 cooling across entire system
  - Optional redundant hot plug power supplies
  - Optional redundant NICs
  - Pre-Failure Warranty on memory, drives, and microprocessors
- Support for Compaq Remote Insight Lights-Out Edition
- Compaq Smart Start and Compaq Insight Manager
- Automatic Server Recovery-2 (ASR-2) and Integrated Remote Console (IRC)
- Internal Diagnostic Display (IDD) numerically indicates health of specific DIMM or microprocessor
- Front Panel LED displays interlock, fan, and power status
- On board diagnostic interlocks for enhanced serviceability
- Tool-free internal design for easy access to system components
- Memory board release latches and PCI card guides (for long PCI cards) make it impossible to dislodge these boards during transit
- Removable, internal center wall eases access to the power supply and hard drive backplane boards
- Customer driven interior system fan design

- Protected by Compaq Services, including a limited three-year parts, labor and on-site warranty<sup>1</sup> with optional 4 hour response. Pre-Failure Warranty<sup>1</sup> and Compaq Service and Support Programs available on a Worldwide basis
- \* 8 GB support at announce, Compaq will provide 16 GB support in 3Q 2000.

## **Test Methodology**

The tests were conducted using Microsoft Messaging Application Program Interface (MAPI) Messaging Benchmark. The MAPI Messaging Benchmark (MMB) measures throughput in terms of a specific profile of user actions, executed over an 8-hour working day. This benchmark utilizes the 'Medium User' setting of the Load Simulator (LoadSim) MAPI tool. Results should be interpreted as a benchmark for comparing messaging throughput of various servers and configurations and should not be confused with deployment recommendations. Factors such as backup/restore, topology and other issues should be considered when planning a deployment.

# **Exchange Server Performance Test Results**

## **Test Result Highlights**

Table 1: Performance Highlights (Compaq ProLiant DL580, (4) Pentium III Xeon 700-MHz)

MAPI Messaging Benchmark (MMB)	32,500
Response Time (milliseconds)	158
Messages Submitted (4-hour steady-state period)	224,871
Message Recipients Delivered (4-hour steady-state period)	1,237,799
Messages Sent (4-hour steady-state period)	60,164

Note: Complete disclosure of test results can be found in Appendix A of this document.

#### **Table 2: Tested Configuration**

COMPAQ PROLIANT DL580 TESTED CONFIGURATION
Four (4) Pentium III Xeon 700-MHz Processors – 2 MB L2 cache per processor
2 GB RAM
One (1) Compaq SMART 4200 Array Controller
Three (3) Compaq KGPSA Fibre Controllers
OS/Exchange DS/MTA Files (4GB): Two (1 + 1) 9.2-GB Drive – RAID 1
Pagefile: (2 <sup>nd</sup> partition on System Disk)
Exchange Log Files: two (1 + 1) 9.2-GB Drives- RAID 1
Exchange Information Store Files: Seventy-two (72) 9.2-GB Drives – RAID 0 (3 RA8000)

Compaq NC3134 64 bit dual-port 10/100 Controller – 2 ports

Windows NT 2000 Advanced Server - Build 2195

Exchange Server Version 5.5 - Enterprise Edition with Service Pack 3

Note: Complete disclosure of test results can be found in Appendix A of this document.

It is important to understand that benchmarks such as these are designed to give planners of Exchange Server implementations baseline references for understanding and comparing the relative capabilities of hardware platforms from a single vendor such as Compaq or among competing hardware vendors. When interpreting these benchmarks, two things should be kept in mind.

First, consider whether benchmark tests are performed on what can be referred to as *customer-deployable configurations*. A hardware vendor may publish a result that is based on a platform or configuration that should not be deployed in a "real world" Exchange Server deployment. For example, many vendors (including Compaq) publish results using disk subsystems configured with RAID0. While RAID0 does provide the highest levels of disk subsystem performance, it fails to provide any protection against data loss. Compaq recommends deploying an Exchange Server with disk fault tolerance such as RAID1 or RAID5 for the highest levels of data protection.

Second, most vendors, including Compaq, conduct benchmark tests for Exchange Server that are *single-server* in nature. Also keep in mind that benchmarks do not account for issues such as backup and disaster recovery or information-store-maintenance sizing. Whatever the issue, care must be taken when interpreting benchmarks to ensure that they represent useful information for your Exchange Server deployment and are based on valid simulation methodologies.

While it is significant that the Compaq ProLiant DL580 server can successfully scale to 32,500 MMB in a single-server benchmark exercise, Compaq recommends careful evaluation of all issues involved in real-world Exchange Server deployments – issues such as management, administration, and disaster recovery.

# MAPI Messaging Benchmark (MMB) – LoadSim Medium User Redefined

To distinguish clearly between throughput benchmarks and capacity planning information for Microsoft Exchange Server, Microsoft has established the MAPI Messaging Benchmark (MMB) based on the workload from LoadSim Medium User profile. The MAPI Messaging Benchmark representative workload focuses on the resulting throughput and clearly communicates the profile under test.

The workload profile has not changed from the LoadSim Medium User profile formerly used, but is now expressed in clearer fashion. The intent is to make sure that customers can understand the MAPI Messaging Benchmark workload and can compare the MMB for one platform to the MMB for other platforms. In addition, the renaming of the benchmark reinforces the fact that the test is a measurement of messaging throughput and that additional considerations are required in capacity planning.

## **MMB Transaction Load**

The transaction load created by the benchmark is equivalent to the user actions outlined in Table 3 over an eight-hour day.

Table 3: MMB	<b>Transaction Load</b>
--------------	-------------------------

User Action	Actions Per Day
Check Inbox	12
Send Message	14.18
Avg. Recipients per Message	4.7
Messages Received	66.3
Read Message	81.3
Move Message	16.3
Delete Message	32.5
Update Calendar	5

Thirty percent of all mail messages have one distribution-list recipient. The average size of the distribution list (DL) is ten recipients. (Recipients created by distribution lists are included in the summary transaction load outlined in Table 3). All users are logged on prior to the benchmark measurement as the users are assumed to be using mail in a corporate setting. Mail is not cleared from the deleted-items folder during the test as this is assumed to occur when the user logs off.

## **Message Mix Description**

The weights used when the Load Simulator randomly selects which message to send are listed in the following Table 4.

Message Files	Body	Attachment	Content Description	Weight
Ups1k.msg	1K		Body as RTF	60
Ups2k.msg	2K		Body as RTF	16
Ups4k.msg	4K		Body as RTF	4
Ups10kat.msg	1K	10K	Body as RTF	6
			Notepad attachment	
Upsxlatt.msg	1K	15K	Body as RTF Microsoft Excel spreadsheet attached	4
Upswdatt.msg	1K	16K	Body as RTF	4
			Microsoft Word document attached	
Upsbmobj.msg	0.5K	43K	Body as RTF	2
			Bitmap attachment	
Upsxlobj.msg	1K	17K	Body as RTF	4
			Excel spreadsheet attachment	

### Load Simulator

The tool used in generating the workload for the MMB benchmark was Microsoft Load Simulator (LoadSim). Load Simulator is a tool for simulating a client-user load on a server running Microsoft Exchange. Its purpose is to enable a single Windows NT server, called a LoadSim client, to simulate multiple Microsoft Exchange client users.

The operation of Load Simulator users is governed by a Load Simulator profile. This profile controls factors such as how long a Load Simulator "day" is, how many e-mail messages to send in a day's time, how many times to open and read e-mail, whether to use distribution lists, whether to use public folders, etc.

Load Simulator creates a highly accurate simulation of reality. It mimics the full Microsoft Exchange Client in many respects. First, it uses .MSG files, the same format used by the Exchange Client. This guarantees that messages generated by Load Simulator have the same properties as those sent by actual users of the Exchange Client. Second, Load Simulator uses the same MAPI remote-procedure-call (RPC) semantics as those used by the Client. Third, Load Simulator registers MAPI change notifications in the same manner as they are registered by the Client. Finally, Load Simulator even emulates the Microsoft Exchange Client list-box cache, which the Client uses for folder and message panes in the viewer when a user browses and selects messages on the server. For more information on LoadSim Medium canonical profiles, refer to the LoadSim documentation, which can be found at <u>http://www.microsoft.com/</u>

# **Appendix A**

## LoadSim Client

Table A-1 details the configuration of the LoadSim clients used to simulate multiple Microsoft Exchange users generating the MMB workload for the MMB measurement.

Table A-1: Configuration of LoadSim Client

LoadSim Clients	Configuration
Model	Compaq Deskpro-EN 450
Client CPU types and speeds	1P/500-MHz Pentium III processor 256Mb Memory
Number of clients	30 clients @ 1000 MMB for 30,000
	3 clients @ 500 MMB for 1500
	4 clients @ 250 MMB for 1000
Network Topology (100Base T, Token Ring, etc.)	100 Base-TX
Network Controllers	Compaq 10/100 TX
Client network software name and version	Windows 2000 Professional (B.2195)
LoadSim version	5.5 (Build 2187)

## **Performance Data**

Performance data for the MMB measurement are detailed in Table A-2.

Table A-2: 32,500 MMB (Measured During Test Run at Steady State)

Summary	
Supported Benchmark Load	32,500 MMB
Benchmark Profile	MAPI Messaging Benchmark
Protocol	Exchange MAPI
Length of Steady State	4 hours
Length of Test	9 hours
Unless otherwise noted, values listed are averages over entire steady state period.	
Transaction Load (hourly)	
Messages Submitted	56,217.75
Message Recipients Delivered	309,449.75
Messages Sent	15,041

continued

Transaction Load (per Second)	
Message Opens/Sec	109.45
Folder Opens/Sec	28.107
RPC Read Bytes/Sec	102,583
RPC Write Bytes/Sec	662,700
Transaction Queues	
IS Send Queue Average Length	9
MTA Work Queue Average Length	3.2
Processor Utilization	
System Processor Utilization (%)	67.7%
System Processor Queue Length	5.2
System Context Switches/Sec	14,992
Process % CPU Time - Store	233.6%
Process % CPU Time - DS	14%
Process % CPU Time - MTA	15.8%
Memory Utilization	
Available Bytes	344MB
Pages/Sec	0.436
Process Working Set Bytes - Store	1.59GB
Process Virtual Bytes - Store	3.09GB
Logical Drive Utilization	
IS Database Disk Reads/Sec	1706.2
IS Database Disk Writes/Sec	580.4
IS Database Average Disk Queue Length	3.2
IS Log Disk Reads/Sec	0.0
IS Log Disk Writes/Sec	147.4
IS Log Average Disk Queue Length	0.02

#### Table A-2 (continued)

**Note:** Performance Results were measured using Microsoft NT Performance Monitor. Measurements were obtained by measuring averages for the period of steady-state activity (i.e. after 32,500 users were successfully logged on). Tests measure the messaging throughput of a single-server, single-site topology.

For deployment-specific information contact a Microsoft or Compaq representative. More information can be found at:

http://www.microsoft.com/exchange/DeployAdmin/DeployAdmin.htm

## **User Response Times**

Table A-3 details response times for various user actions during benchmark testing.

Client Actions	95th-Percentile Response Time (in Milliseconds)
Read	121
Send	240
Delete	200
Move	251
Submit	200

Table A-3: User Response Tim	es (Latencies) from Load Simulator
------------------------------	------------------------------------

## **Descriptive Terms**

## **Messages Submitted**

Submit calls made by clients. This equates to total message sends by users.

#### **Messages Sent**

Messages that the Information Store sends to the MTA (not messages sent by clients). Normally all messages submitted by the clients are sent to the MTA, except in the case where all recipients are local mailboxes. In that case, since all the deliveries can be performed locally, no message is sent to the MTA.

#### **Message Recipients Delivered**

Separate mailboxes that messages have been delivered to. Think of this as the number of Reads that are 'caused' by sending a message (one per recipient).

#### Message Opens/Sec

Messages accessed for reading per second.

#### Folder Opens/Sec

Folders opened for browsing per second.

#### **RPC Read Bytes/Sec**

RPC Bytes read from clients (i.e., submit calls).

#### **RPC Write Bytes/Sec**

RPC Bytes written to clients (i.e., message opens).

## **IS Send Queue Average Length**

Send Queue Size is the number of messages in the private information store's send queue.

## MTA Work Queue Average Length

Work Queue Length is the number of outstanding messages in the Work Queue, which indicates the number of messages not yet processed to completion by the MTA.

# **Appendix B: Related Documents**

The following key documents and locations provide a wealth of information regarding successful deployment of Microsoft Exchange Server on Compaq platforms.

## **Compaq ActiveAnswers**

www.compaq.com/activeanswers

Managing and Monitoring Microsoft Exchange Server Microsoft Exchange Server Backup and Restore Performance using Compaq 35/70 DLT Arrays Microsoft Exchange Server Performance and Tuning Guide Implementing High Availability for Microsoft Exchange Server

## **Compaq White Paper Index**

www.compaq.com/support/techpubs/whitepapers

## **Compaq TechNote Index**

www.compaq.com/support/techpubs

RAID Technology for Database Servers

## Microsoft Exchange Server Web site

www.microsoft.com/exchange