

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE)	
INTEL CORPORATION)	
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST)	MDL No 05-1717-JJF
LITIGATION)	
)	
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a Delaware)	
corporation, and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES &)	
SERVICES, LTD., a Delaware corporation,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	C.A. No. 05-441-JJF
)	
INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and)	
INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA, a Japanese corporation,)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself)	
and all others similarly situated,)	C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
Plaintiffs,)	CONSOLIDATED ACTION
v.)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION,)	
Defendants.)	
)	

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 8

WHEREAS, the parties mutually agree that it is in each of their interest to minimize to the greatest extent possible disputes at trial concerning the authenticity of documents and the applicability of the business records exception to the hearsay rule (F.R.E. 803(6));¹

¹ The Special Master has modified the Stipulation and Proposed Case Management Order No. 8 by adding the requirement of a status report in paragraph 2.

WHEREAS, the parties prefer, rather than serving Requests for Admission and conducting custodian of records deposition(s), that all reasonable efforts first be made to reach agreement upon appropriate protocols that will avoid, as much as possible, disputes as to the admissibility of documentary evidence on grounds of authenticity and/or hearsay;

NOW, THEREFORE, The parties through their respective counsel of record hereby stipulate, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

1. The parties shall meet and confer and attempt to reach agreement on protocol(s) that will (a) avoid, as much as possible, disputes as to the admissibility of documentary evidence on grounds of authenticity and/or hearsay; and (b) address the presentation of such evidence at trial.

2. In the event the parties are unable to reach such agreement, each of the parties reserves the right to serve Requests for Admission as to the authenticity of documents and as to the applicability of the business records exception to the hearsay rule, F.R.E. 803(6), and further reserves the right to conduct Custodian of Records deposition(s) as necessary to establish authenticity and the applicability of F.R.E. 803(6). The schedule for doing so will be established at a later time closer to trial. In this regard the parties shall provide a status report to the Special Master not later than close of business, January 7, 2010.

3. Upon approval of this stipulation by the Court, Class Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 36(a)(1)(b), dated May 13, 2009, are deemed withdrawn without prejudice to Class Plaintiffs' serving the same or different Requests pursuant to paragraph 2 above.

/s/ J. Clayton Athey

James L. Holzman (#663)
jlholzman@prickett.com
J. Clayton Athey (#4378)
jcathey@prickett.com
Prickett Jones & Elliott, P.A.
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6509
Interim Liaison Counsel and Attorneys
for Phil Paul, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated


/s/ Richard L. Horwitz

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
wdrane@potteranderson.com
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19890-0951
(302) 984-6000
Attorneys for Intel Corporation and
Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

/s/ Frederick L. Cottrell, III

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
cottrell@rlf.com
Chad M. Shandler (#3796)
shandler@rlf.com
Steven J. Fineman (#4025)
fineman@rlf.com
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 651-7700
Attorneys for Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.
and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd.

Dated: May 20, 2009



Vincent J. Poppiti (#100614)
Special Master

ENTERED this
20th day of May, 2009

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2009.

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
United States District Judge
District of Delaware