

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE:

INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR ) MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION )

---

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and )  
AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, )  
LTD. )

Plaintiffs, )

v. )

INTEL CORPORATION and )  
INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA, )

Defendants. )

C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF)

---

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and )  
all others similarly situated, )

Plaintiffs, )

v. )

INTEL CORPORATION, )

Defendant. )

C.A. No. 05-485-JJF

CONSOLIDATED ACTION

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that, pursuant to Rule 45 and Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a subpoena *ad testificandum* has been or will be served on OfficeMax Inc., 263 Shuman Blvd., Naperville, Illinois 60563. A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached hereto.

Defendant Intel Corporation will take the deposition upon oral examination of Office Max, Inc., regarding the subject matter set forth in the attached Exhibit A. The deposition will take place before an authorized court reporter, commencing at 9:00 A.M. on May 19, 2009 at the

offices of OfficeMax, 263 Shuman Blvd., Naperville, Illinois 60563, or at such other time and place as agreed to by the parties. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed and shall be transcribed. You are invited to attend and cross-examine the witness.

OF COUNSEL:

David M. Balabanian  
Donn Pickett  
David C. Beach  
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
(415) 393-2000

Dated: May 5, 2009

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor  
1313 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000

[rhowitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhowitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)

Attorneys for Defendants  
*Intel Corporation and  
Intel Kabushiki Kaisha*

914779/29282

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Northern District of Illinois

In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litig.
Plaintiff
v.
Defendant

Civil Action No. MDL No. 05-1717-JJF
(If the action is pending in another district, state where: District of Delaware)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION OR TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: OfficeMax Inc., 263 Shuman Blvd., Naperville, IL 60563

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization that is not a party in this case, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Table with 2 columns: Place (OfficeMax Inc., 263 Shuman Blvd., Naperville, IL 60563) and Date and Time (05/19/2009 9:00 am)

The deposition will be recorded by this method: visual and/or stenographic means

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date: 05/05/2009

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

OR

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Intel Corp., who issues or requests this subpoena, are: David C. Beach, Bingham McCutchen LLP, Three Embarcadero Center; (415) 393-2955; duff.beach@bingham.com

Civil Action No. MDL No. 05-1717-JJF

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)*

This subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the subpoena on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I left the subpoena at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the subpoena on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

Other *(specify)*:

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also  
tendered to the witness fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_.

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

**Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)**

**(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.** A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney’s fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

**(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.**

**(A) Appearance Not Required.** A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

**(B) Objections.** A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

**(i)** At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

**(ii)** These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party’s officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

**(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.**

**(A) When Required.** On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

**(i)** fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

**(ii)** requires a person who is neither a party nor a party’s officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

**(iii)** requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

**(iv)** subjects a person to undue burden.

**(B) When Permitted.** To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

**(i)** disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

**(ii)** disclosing an unretained expert’s opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert’s study that was not requested by a party; or

**(iii)** a person who is neither a party nor a party’s officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

**(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.** In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

**(i)** shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

**(ii)** ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

**(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

**(A) Documents.** A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

**(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.** If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

**(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.** The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

**(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.** The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

**(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.**

**(A) Information Withheld.** A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

**(i)** expressly make the claim; and

**(ii)** describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

**(B) Information Produced.** If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

**(e) Contempt.** The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty’s failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

## Exhibit A

### DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. The terms **YOU** and **YOUR** shall mean OfficeMax, Inc., and any past or present predecessor, successor, parent, subsidiary, division or affiliate, and all persons (as defined below) acting on its behalf including, without limitation, present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives.
2. The term **COMPUTER PRODUCTS** includes without limitation desktop computers, laptop computers, workstations and servers containing an x86 microprocessor.

### DEPOSITION TOPICS

1. **YOUR** marketing and pricing strategies for **COMPUTER PRODUCTS** in any of the following segments: retail/consumer; small/medium business; corporate; or other customer groups to which you sell.
2. The factors that **YOU** consider in pricing of **COMPUTER PRODUCTS**.
3. The relationship in retail pricing between **COMPUTER PRODUCTS** containing AMD processors and those containing Intel processors.
4. A description, target, and duration of any types of price promotion programs that **YOU** offered regarding the sale of **COMPUTER PRODUCTS**.
5. **YOUR** strategic analyses or plans or competitive reviews regarding the retail market for **COMPUTER PRODUCTS**.
6. Any analyses or consideration that **YOU** gave to differential pricing of **COMPUTER PRODUCTS**, whether geographical, platform- or business segment-based.

7. The time lag between the announcement of a change in the cost of a microprocessor and a change in the price of **YOUR COMPUTER PRODUCTS** containing the processor.
8. How frequently (daily, weekly, monthly) sales prices for **YOUR COMPUTER PRODUCTS** change, whether sales prices vary from store to store and why, and whether store managers have the discretion to change the sales price.
9. The use of any type of financial incentive provided to **YOU** by either AMD or Intel.
10. The geographic locations of any business dealings between **YOU** and Intel.
11. The extent and manner of **YOUR** dealings with any ODMs or manufacturers of **COMPUTER PRODUCTS** you purchased or contemplated purchasing to sell unbranded or under **YOUR** own private label.
12. Any allegations in the Class or AMD complaints concerning **YOU**.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr., hereby certify that on May 5, 2009, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein  
Frederick L. Cottrell, III  
Chad M. Shandler  
Steven J. Fineman  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman  
J. Clayton Athey  
Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A.  
1310 King Street  
P.O. Box 1328  
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on May 5, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond  
Linda J. Smith  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
[cdiamond@omm.com](mailto:cdiamond@omm.com)  
[lsmith@omm.com](mailto:lsmith@omm.com)

Mark A. Samuels  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
400 South Hope Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
[msamuels@omm.com](mailto:msamuels@omm.com)

Salem M. Katsh  
Laurin B. Grollman  
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP  
1633 Broadway, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10019  
[skatsh@kasowitz.com](mailto:skatsh@kasowitz.com)  
[lgrollman@kasowitz.com](mailto:lgrollman@kasowitz.com)

Daniel A. Small  
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
[dsmall@cmht.com](mailto:dsmall@cmht.com)

Craig C. Corbitt  
Judith A. Zahid  
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[ccorbitt@zelle.com](mailto:ccorbitt@zelle.com)  
[jzahid@zelle.com](mailto:jzahid@zelle.com)

Guido Saveri  
R. Alexander Saveri  
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.  
706 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[guido@saveri.com](mailto:guido@saveri.com)  
[rick@saveri.com](mailto:rick@saveri.com)

Michael P. Lehmann  
Jon T. King  
Hausfeld LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com)  
[jking@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:jking@hausfeldllp.com)

Steve W. Berman  
Anthony D. Shapiro  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
[steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)  
[tony@hbsslaw.com](mailto:tony@hbsslaw.com)

Michael D. Hausfeld  
Brent W. Landau  
Hausfeld LLP  
1146 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Fifth Floor  
Washington, DC 20036  
[mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com)  
[blandau@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:blandau@hausfeldllp.com)

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000  
[rhowitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhowitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants*  
*Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha*